Response to Restriction Requirement USŜN 10/574,161 Page 7 of 7

REMARKS

In the attached amendment, claims 1-9 and 20-29 have been withdrawn, claims 10, 12, 15

and 18 have been amended to conform to U.S. practice and to eliminate informalities, and claim

30 has been introduced to capture subject matter removed from original claim 10. No new

matter has been introduced.

In the Restriction Requirement mailed from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on

August 3, 2009, the Examiner required Applicants to elect one of the following three groups of

claims:

Group I (claims 1-9) drawn to a composition;

o Group II (claim 10-19) drawn to a process for the production of a structural part from

a compound; and

Group III (claims 20-29), drawn to a structural part.

In order to expedite prosecution, Applicants elect Group 2 (claims 10-19 and new claim

30). Accordingly, claims 1-9 and claims 20-29 are withdrawn from further consideration.

Applicants respectfully request that claims 10-19 and 30 now proceed promptly to

examination.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 20, 2010

Reg. No.: 55,699

/Deborah M. Vernon #55,699/

Deborah M. Vernon

Attorney for the Applicant(s)

Proskauer Rose LLP

One International Place

Boston, MA 02110

Tel. No.: (617-526-9836

Fax No.: (617) 526-9899

6864/20496-514 Current/17366520v1